



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
10/596,744	03/05/2007	Halbe Hageman	P19058-US1	1023								
27045 ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024	7590 03/03/2009		<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">AUVÉ, GLENN ALLEN</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">2111</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		AUVÉ, GLENN ALLEN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2111	
EXAMINER												
AUVÉ, GLENN ALLEN												
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
2111												
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>03/03/2009</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	03/03/2009	PAPER				
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
03/03/2009	PAPER											

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/596,744

Applicant(s)

HAGEMAN, HALBE

Examiner

Glenn A. Auve

Art Unit

2111

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/2006
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Inventor's Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no antecedent basis for either the computer program product of claim 43 or the data carrier of claim 44.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the communication system apparatus and method of claims 23-42, does not reasonably provide enablement for the computer program product and the data carrier of claims 43 and 44, respectively. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant's specification appears to provide no basis for the computer program product as recited in claim 43 or any recitation with respect to what the "data carrier" is as recited in claim 44. While the specification does set for an implementation or embodiment utilizing XML programming there is no embodiment of the XML program being part of a program product nor is there an explanation with respect to the data carrier.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 23,42 and 43 are each rejected because it is not clear if the resource referred to in the phrase "where in said bus is implemented by a plurality of adjacent sections, each section being implemented as an ASIC connected to a resource" is the same as the "one or more resources" previously recited in each claim. Furthermore, if these are referencing the same "resources" then the phrase "one or more resources" should be changed to "a plurality of resources" since there are a plurality of adjacent sections and each section is implemented as an ASIC connected to a resource.

Claim 43 is also rejected because it is not clear what is meant by "said computer program product, after being loaded..." since it is not clear where such a program product is being loaded. Also, the phrase "said computer program product, after being loaded, allowing said communication system to: assigning sub busses of said bus with variable width; transmit communications between said monitor, said memory and said one or more resources via said sub busses." This phrase is grammatically incorrect and unclear and should be revised. Specifically "allowing the communication system to: assigning..." does not follow.

Claims 24-41 and 44 are rejected based on their dependencies on the above-noted independent claims.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 33 and 34 are objected to because of the following informalities: "analogue" used at several points in claim 33 should be "analog". Also, in claim 33, "a digital analogue converter" and "an analogue digital converter" should be "a digital to analog converter" and "an analog to digital converter," respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims lack the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of §101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a processed nor are they a combination of chemical compounds to be a composition of matter. As such, they fail to fall within a statutory category. They are, at best, functional descriptive material *per se*. For example, claim 43 recites a "Computer program product storing instructions and data to be loaded by a communication system...said computer program product, after being loaded, allowing said communication system to:" and then the claim lists what appear to be method steps. However, since there is no clear description of what the "computer program product" is, it could merely be a computer program *per se*. Further, the phrase "allowing said communication system to:" merely means that the computer program product does not prevent the communication system from performing the steps recited not that it causes the communication system to perform the steps.

With regard to claim 44, there is no definition with respect to the data carrier. As such it could be construed as a carrier wave which has been determined to be non-statutory.

Drawings

7. The drawings are objected to because the shading makes the labels in the drawings difficult to read. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show either configurable buses or ASICs. However, as noted in the PCT Examination Report, the prior art does not show that said bus is implemented by a plurality of adjacent sections, each section being implemented as an ASIC connected to a resource, said ASIC being arranged to assign sub busses of said bus with variable width.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn A. Auve whose telephone number is (571) 272-3623. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM-5:30 PM, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Glenn A. Auve/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2111

gaa